

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DERARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Hatent and Trademark Office Address: OOMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 1.0 Box 1980 Attexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			\ /	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,754	04/26/2001	Richard A. Pineau	8410 (OL)	8266
20349 75	90 05/24/2006		EXAMINER	
POLAROID CORPORATION			REILLY, SEAN M	
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT			
1265 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WALTHAM MA 02451			2153	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 09/842,754 PINEAU ET AL. (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit Sean Reilly 2153 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 03 March 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP

1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. 1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. 2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 6. □ 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any 8. 🔲 other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10. ☑ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): With regard to point 1, Applicant has failed to use the proper headings as defined in the MPEP section 1205. For instance the headings "Issues" and "Grouping of claims" are no longer used.

> GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100